Michael Waddington, Esq. (SBN 172279) 1 LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL WADDINGTON 2 9615 Brighton Way, Suite 400 Beverly Hills, California 90210 3 Telephone: (310) 276-4100 (310) 276-4006 Facsimile: 4 AUG 12 2010 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, Clarke/Executive Officer/Clerk CHANTEL THOMAS 6 7 D31 Alan Rosenfield 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 11 CHANTEL THOMAS, an individual, Case No. B 6443559 12 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 13 1. Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code VS. 14 §12940 et seq. – Discrimination Based on SHED MEDIA U.S., INC. a Delaware Sex. 15 Corporation; SURVIVING TERROR, LLC, a 16 Delaware Limited Liability Corporation; and 2. Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, §12940 et seq. – Hostile Work 17 Environment/Harassment Based on Sex. Defendants. 18 3. Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code 19 §12940(i) – Failure to Take Corrective Action to Prevent Harassment 20 4. Tortious Termination in Violation of 21 Public Policy. 22 5. Breach of Implied Covenant of Goo 23 Faith and Fair Dealing. 24 25 26 Plaintiff CHANTEL THOMAS, by and through her undersigned counsel hereby files this 27 28 Complaint for Damages against Defendants Shed Media U.S., Inc. and Surviving Tempor, (collectively "Defendants") alleging as follows:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Chantel Thomas ("Ms. Thomas") at all relevant times was and is a resident of the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 2. Defendant Shed Media US, Inc. (hereinafter "Shed Media") is a Delaware corporation that is licensed to do and is doing business within in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. At all times herein mentioned Government Code sections 12940 et seq. were in full force and effect and were binding on the Defendant. These sections require Defendant to refrain from discrimination and harassment against any employee on the basis of sex, among other things. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, in full compliance with these sections, and received a right-to-sue letter, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "A."
- 3. Defendant Surviving Terror, LLC (hereinafter "Surviving Terror") is a Delaware limited liability corporation that at all times was licensed to do and is doing business in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. At all times herein mentioned Government Code sections 12940 et seq. were in full force and effect and were binding on the Defendant. These sections require Defendant to refrain from discrimination and harassment against any employee on the basis of sex, among other things. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, in full compliance with these sections, and received a right-to-sue letter, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "B."
- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants Does 1 through 100 and therefore sues them by fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint when the true names of the Doe Defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that the Doe Defendants were in some way responsible for, participated in or contributed to the matters and things detailed in this complaint and therefore should assume legal responsibility.
- 5. Each of the Defendants and/or their predecessors, affiliates, subsidiaries and related entities, were the agents, servants, employees, fellow members, associates and/or joint-ventures of

each of the other remaining Defendants. Each of the Defendants acted within the purpose and scope of the agency, employment or joint-venture, and the express and/or implied knowledge, consent, advice and/or permission of the remaining Defendants. The acts of each Defendant were approved, adopted and/or ratified by each other and together constitute a single course of conduct.

6. Jurisdiction and Venue is proper in the Central District of Los Angeles Count.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 7. Plaintiff Chantel Thomas, an experienced costumed designer was hired by Defendants to be the lead costume designer for the television show *Surviving Terror*.
- 8. Cade Courtley ("Mr. Courtley") was the host of *Surviving Terror*. Mr. Courtley, a former Navy Seal, was not only the host of the show, but was also the spokesman.
- 9. Jeanie Whitmeyer ("Ms. Whitmeyer") was Ms. Thomas' assistant. Ms. Whitmeyer had little or no previous experience as a costume designer.
 - 10. Thereinafter, Mr. Courtley and Ms. Whitmeyer began a sexual relationship.
- 11. After Mr. Courtley began having a sexual relationship with Ms. Thomas' subordinate, Mr. Courtley began harassing Ms. Thomas. He began refusing to wear underwear in any of his numerous fittings, even the boxer shorts and briefs that she specifically purchased for him. Mr. Courtley began making inappropriate comments to Ms. Thomas. Ms. Thomas' complainants to the Defendants regarding Mr. Courtley's harassing behavior were summarily ignored.
- 12. Defendants knew, or should have known, of Mr. Courtley's sexual relationship with a subordinate.
- 13. Per Mr. Courtley's demand and ultimatum, Defendants subsequently terminated Ms. Thomas' employment in order to promote Ms. Whitman.

14. Less than two months after Ms. Thomas was terminated, Mr. Courtley and Ms. Whitmeyer began residing together.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code, §12940 et seq. Discrimination Based on Sex [As Against All Named Defendants]

- 15. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 14 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 16. Defendants, and each of them, were employers.
 - 17. Ms. Thomas was an employee of Defendants.
 - 18. Defendants discharged Ms. Thomas.
- 19. Ms. Thomas's protected status based upon her sex was a motivating reason for the discharge. Mr. Courtley was having a sexual relationship with Ms. Thomas' subordinate. Defendants terminated Ms. Thomas in order to promote her subordinate, effectively rewarding her for
- 20. Such discrimination is in violation of DEFH Act, Gov. Code §12940 et seq. and has resulted in damage and injury to Ms. Thomas as alleged herein.
- 21. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination against Ms. Thomas, she has sustained and continues to sustain substantial losses in earnings, career opportunities, and other employment benefits, the precise amount of which will be proved at trial.
- 22. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination against Ms. Thomas, she has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof.
- 23. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas. Alternatively, Defendants' despicable conduct was carried out in conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights. Defendants'

conduct was carried out by a managing agent, or an officer, a director, or a managing agent of Defendants who had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of its decision-maker and employed the decision-maker with a conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights and/or authorized and/or ratified the decision-maker's conduct. As a result of the Defendants' conduct, Ms. Thomas is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with each Defendant's wealth.

24. In light of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination against Ms. Thomas, she seeks equitable relief, including, but not limited to, the return to her previous position, with full employment benefits as if she were never terminated.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code, §12940 et seq. Hostile Work Environment/Harassment Based on Sex [As Against All Named Defendants]

- 25. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 24 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 26. Ms. Thomas was an employee of Defendants.
- 27. Ms. Thomas was subjected to unwanted harassing conduct because of her sexual orientation.
- 28. The harassing conduct was so severe, widespread, or persistent that a reasonable person in Ms. Thomas's circumstances would have considered the work environment hostile or abusive.
 - 29. Ms. Thomas considered the work environment hostile or abusive.
- 30. A supervisor with actual or reasonably perceived authority over Ms. Thomas engaged in the conduct.
- 31. Such discrimination is in violation of DEFH Act, Gov. Code §12940 et seq. and has resulted in damage and injury to Ms. Thomas as alleged herein.
- 32. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional harassment of Ms. Thomas, she has sustained and continues to sustain substantial losses in earnings, career opportunities, and other employment benefits, the precise amount of which will be proved at trial.

33. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional harassment of Ms. Thomas, she has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof.

- 34. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas. Alternatively, Defendants' despicable conduct was carried out in conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights. Defendants' conduct was carried out by a managing agent, or an officer, a director, or a managing agent of Defendants who had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of its decision-maker and employed the decision-maker with a conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights and/or authorized and/or ratified the decision-maker's conduct. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Ms. Thomas is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with each Defendant's wealth.
- 35. In light of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional harassment of Ms. Thomas, she seeks equitable relief, including, but not limited to, the return to her previous position, with full employment benefits as if she were never terminated.
- 36. Ms. Thomas has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Ms. Thomas is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of DFEH Act, Gov. Code, §12940(i) Failure to Take Corrective Action to Prevent Harassment [As Against All Named Defendants]

- 37. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 36 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 38. At all times mentioned in this complaint, Government Code §12940(i) was in full force and effect and was binding on the Defendants. This subsection requires Defendants to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring. As alleged above, Defendants violated this subsection by failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring.

///

- 39. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Ms. Thomas sustained and continues to sustain damage and injury as alleged herein.
- 40. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional violation of Government Code §12940(i), Ms. Thomas has sustained and continues to sustain substantial losses in earnings, career opportunities, and other employment benefits, the precise amount of which will be proved at trial.
- 41. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional violation of Government Code §12940(i), Ms. Thomas has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof.
- 42. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas. Alternatively, Defendants' despicable conduct was carried out in conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights. Defendants' conduct was carried out by a managing agent, or an officer, a director, or a managing agent of Defendants who had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of its decision-maker and employed the decision-maker with a conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights and/or authorized and/or ratified the decision-maker's conduct. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Ms. Thomas is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with each Defendant's wealth.
- 43. In light of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional discrimination against Ms. Thomas, she seeks equitable relief, including, but not limited to, the return to her previous position, with full employment benefits as if she were never terminated.
- 44. Ms. Thomas has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Ms. Thomas is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Tortious Termination in Violation of Public Policy [As Against All Named Defendants]

- 45. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 44 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 46. By the aforementioned actions, Defendants violated the laws of the State of California, constitutional and statutory authority. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas.
- 47. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional violation of the fundamental, substantial, and well-established statutes, rules and ordinances, Ms. Thomas has sustained and continues to sustain substantial losses in earnings, career opportunities, and other employment benefits, the precise amount of which will be proved at trial.
- 48. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional violation of the fundamental, substantial, and well-established statutes, rules and ordinances, Ms. Thomas has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof.
- 49. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas. Alternatively, Defendants' despicable conduct was carried out in conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights. Defendants' conduct was carried out by a managing agent, or an officer, a director, or a managing agent of Defendants who had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of its decision-maker and employed the decision-maker with a conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights and/or authorized and/or ratified the decision-maker's conduct. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Ms. Thomas is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with each Defendant's wealth.

-25

:27

50. Ms. Thomas has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Ms. Thomas is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing [As Against All Named Defendants]

- 51. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 49 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 52. The relationship between employer and employee is fundamentally contractual. Inherent in this contractual relationship is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, which implies a promise that each party will refrain from doing anything to injure the other's right to receive the benefits of the agreement and which protects the parties' reasonable expectations. The provisions of the California Labor Code are implied by law into all employment agreements, including the employment agreement entered into between Ms. Thomas and the Defendants. By discharging Ms. Thomas, Defendants injured Ms. Thomas's right to receive the benefits of her employment agreement and thwarted Ms. Thomas's reasonable expectations; i.e., Defendants breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 53. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Ms. Thomas has sustained and continues to sustain substantial losses in earnings, career opportunities, and other employment benefits, the precise amount of which will be proved at trial.
- 54. As a proximate result of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Ms. Thomas has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof.
- 55. Defendants committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Thomas. Alternatively, Defendants' despicable conduct was carried out in conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights. Defendants'

conduct was carried out by a managing agent, or an officer, a director, or a managing agent of Defendants who had advanced knowledge of the unfitness of its decision-maker and employed the decision-maker with a conscious disregard of Ms. Thomas's rights and/or authorized and/or ratified the decision-maker's conduct. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Ms. Thomas is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with each Defendant's wealth.

- 56. In light of the Defendants' willful, knowing, and intentional breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Ms. Thomas seeks equitable relief, including, but not limited to, the return to her previous position, with full employment benefits as if she were never terminated.
- 57. Ms. Thomas has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees.

 Ms. Thomas is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiff Chantel Thomas requests a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Chantel Thomas requests the following:

- 1. For general damages to compensate Plaintiff for the humiliation, emotional distress, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, embarrassment, anxiety, and mental and physical pain and anguish she has and will suffer;
- For all damages provided under California Civil Code, §3294;
- 3. For punitive damages;
- 4. For medical and related expenses, past and future, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial;
- 5. For loss of earnings, past and future, and all other special damages in an amount according to proof at the time of trial;
- 6. For diminution in earning capacity, past and future, in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 7. For mental and emotional distress damages on each cause of action;

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1055 West 7th Street, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 439-6799 (800) 700-2320 Fax (213) 439-6796

www.dfeh.ca.gov



March 3, 2010

CHANTAL THOMAS 9601 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 650 Beverly Hills, CA 90210

RE: E200910S0756-01-sc

THOMAS/SHED MEDIA U.S., INC.

Dear CHANTAL THOMAS:

NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective February 25, 2010 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also the Right-To-Sue Notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Notice of Case Closure Page Two

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Lottie Woodruff

District Administrator

cc: Case File

EEO Representative SHED MEDIA U.S., INC. 3800 Barham Blvd., Ste. 400 Los Angeles, CA 90068 LIVIS LU I SULINI

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT

OFEH \$00-02 (07001)

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING

DFEH# E-200910-S-0756-01-sc

DEEH USE ONLY

Benartment of Fair HATT WINDOWS MAN

and Housing

					The second secon	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
	CALIF	ORNIA DEPA	RTMENT OF F	AIR EMPLOYMENT	AND HOUSING	
YOUR NAME SOCIETA ME.	dui///	4/.	Journey.	<i>A</i> = *	<u> در سیست کی در </u>	TELEPHONE MUMBER (INCLUDE AREA CODE
imacte	man,	101	1/10	mas	(31	0)276-4100
101-4V:	M. Wad	dince	ten ?	7601 W) lalure	Rbd. 650
CITYISTATEZH -	Rosh der	40	. (7A 90	210 com	COUNTY CODE
NAMED IS THE	EMPLOYER, PERSO	IN, LABOR C	RGANIZATI			PRENTICESHIP
	DR STATE OR LOCAL	L GOVERNM	ENT AGENC	y who discrim	MINATED AGAIN	
she she	d Media	<u> </u>	DAC			TELEPHONÉ MUMBER (INCAMA Area Code
ADDRESS 380	O Boorl	Kem -	Islad.	sute	400	OFEH OSE ONLY
CITYISTATERE	Hnceles	CA	1	20068	COUNTY	COUNTY CODE
NO. OF EMPLOYEES WEN	BERS (il inseemi)		MOST RECENT OR C PLACE (month, day,	ONTHUME DISCRIMINATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	nch 3 é	ACSPONGENT COOS
THE PARTICULARS ARE	1 - 3 0	Y a	ed .	denied wa	•	derived family or madical bases
On Marc	W 7 4007	A 0.3	es A sit	denied pro	• • • •	denied programmy have
			moted Cassed	denied tra	oster parangulation	derind input pay derind child to seem pacits
•			netic characteristics :	- Million Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An	Dis non-jub-related inquiry	taind property accommodation
w Cado	contley her	اللاج تحطأ	+ had	no Fied	The second secon	Graville Modern
Name	of Parsoin			rageripersonnal directoriesc		u I was fired.
because of my:	X) 201	national originiancas	ery payaic	ai diabity o	den/	(Circle cost) /Mary
readuse of my.		outsis letino noixtonne leuxs	TO MODERN	d disability go	matic characteristic	protecting periodpalling in
		ESSOCIETION	Jo silver	lapacity Eravar	<u> </u>	R Ausens y
he reason civen b	y Cade Coutu	ey him	assid r	had me	Aced No	of Grow. Ne Mac
	1		Hame of Person and	Job Tide	/tele	I me I was free
*** *	01:- 11	š.		^	e of	on a series de la compansión de la comp
Was because of	Cade Courte	q was	Javing	Sexual 1ª	10x10-20	Th my 435.57=
(please state what you believe	Jeannie 1	ish: Ame	101. CEE	· Countley	heresso	dme and then t
to be reason(s))	Dad's	· acdae		Servaie !	Dle Lucere	could have my
	in court (houseversely that the					ant a federal source of dight-he-aust. I strept
isit the U.S. Equal Employs discharge is parter.	nent Opportunity Commission (EEQC	to file a complaint	within 30 days of recu	ign of the OFEH Motics of	Case Clasure," or within 300	l days all the alleged districtions or a ect.
have not been represed into	e making this request, not so i make	it based on lear of t	eradation if I do not be	so. I and elevand it is the	Department of Fair Employee	out and Housing's pulley to not process or
open a complaint once the	complaint has been classed on the b	and all "Complainent	Elected Coops Action.	· X		
declars under peoplity of	perjury under the laws of the S	tata si California ti	nat the foregoing is	true and carrent of my ox	m knowledge except as to	matters stated as my information and
elief, and as to them on	itters I belleve it to be true.		1			
xx 2/	24/10		<u>*</u>			
かん	Nincolon	AN		C	OMPLAINANT S SIGNATUR	
ر الباعد،	myere	フレバ				ECEIVED
	City	#			R	Land Market Mark
Ę,I		DATE PILED:	Februar	y 25, 2010		TEB 2 5 2010

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

1055 West 7th Street, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 439-6799 (800) 700-2320 Fax (213) 439-6796

www.dfeh.ca.gov



March 3, 2010

CHANTAL THOMAS 9601 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 650 Beverly Hills, CA 90210

RE:

E200910S0756-00-sc

THOMAS/SURVIVING TERROR, LLC

Dear CHANTAL THOMAS:

NOTICE OF CASE CLOSURE .

This letter informs that the above-referenced complaint that was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective February 25, 2010 because an immediate right-to-sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also the Right-To-Sue Notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

If a federal notice of Right-To-Sue is wanted, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) must be visited to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH *Notice of Case Closure* or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

MINIA LANGE STREET

COMPLAINT OF DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA

DFEH# E-200910-S-0756-00-sc

DEEH USE ONLY

FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT	
, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING	
TOUR NAME BARCHO Mr. (Mr.) Chantal Thomas (310)276-400	
otoffy: M. Waddington 9601 W. lahre Blod 65	<u> </u>
COTISTATEDE CA 90210 COUNTY	00£
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, PERSON, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME:	
SUVIVING TERROR LLC	à Coss
ADDRESS 7800 Booker Blod. Sute 400 OFFHUSE OHLY	Memorial III
COUNTY COOK COUNTY COOK	
NO. OF EMPLOTEESINEMBERS OF Informal O SO + DATE MOST RECENT OR CONTINUING DISCRIMINATION RESPONDENT COO TOOK PLACE Linking, and year) Nuncle 3 2009	£
THE PARTICULARS ARE	****
On March 3 2009 was A fired derived arms or medical has been derived promotion derived propositive finance derived der	
Discussed operation operation desired in the control of the contro	arion.
by Cade Courtles herres soil + had me field to Nat Graville (Mad	
Name of Parson Job Title (supervisor/menografipersonnel Gractor/etc.) -told and Described	and a few lands and a few land
because of my: Sect	
religion sexual orientation profits forestighting forestig)
the reason given by Cada Courtley herassed + had me fred / Nat Granite (
Name of Person and Job Title /-je [ed jour 35 (2005-5	irect.
Was because of Cado Courtey was having sexual selations onto my assis	Foret
what you believe Jeannie whitmuper Cade Courtley heressed me and the	rs. Ac
to be reason(s) me fired in order that Jeannie Whitmayer could have.	~/`}¢
I wish to pursue this metter is court. I harsby request that the Department of Fair Employment and Mousing provide a right-to-sus matice. I understand the [1] want a federal notice of right-to-sus, I must be U.S. Equal Employment Oppertunity Commission (ECOC) to file a complaint within 3D days of occupy of the OFEH "Notice of Case Closure," or within 3D days of the alleged discriminatory act	1052
whichever is safer.	
I have not been coursed into making this request, nor do I make it based on lear of retalistion if for all do so. I understand to the Department of res Employment and Housing's policy to not pessent reapon a complete teach the complete her	#
I declars under penalty of parjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is type and correct of my own knowledge except as to make stated on my information	es#
belled, see as to these metters I belleve it to be true. One \times 2/24/10	
OC A COLO OC	ΕD
FFR 25 20	10
FEB 25 20 GATE FILED: February 25, 2010 RECEIVED Department of Fair Em	•
Department of rail city of the property of the partment of rail city of the partment of the partment of rail city of the partment of the	nlowmen

Notice of Case Closure Page Two

DFEH does not retain case files beyond three years after a complaint is filed, unless the case is still open at the end of the three-year period.

Sincerely,

Lottie Woodruff

District Administrator

cc: Case File

EEO Representative SURVIVING TERROR, LLC 3800 Barham Blvd., Ste. 400 Los Angeles, CA 90068

		CM-010		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Statemark) Michael Waddington (SBN 172279)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL WADDINGT				
9615 Brighton Way, Suite 400 Beverly Hills, CA 90210	FILED			
310-276-4100	FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Chantel Thor	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LC STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. HIII Street	S ANGELES	AUG 12 2010		
MAILING ADDRESS:				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA		John A. Clarke/Executive Officer/Clerk		
BRANCH NAME: Central		By (16) A-COULT Deputy AMBER LAFLEUR-CLAYTON		
CASE NAME:		AMIDER LAPLEON-CLATION		
Thomas v. Shed Media USA, Inc. CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	0. 1. 0 5	CASE NUMBER:		
Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	BC443559		
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	. Judge:		
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	lant 1		
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) ow must be completed (see instructions of	DEPT:		
Check one box below for the case type that		on page 2).		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)		
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property <u>Damage</u> /Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40)		
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)		
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)		
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Oth as an all mass and (200)	Enforcement of Judgment		
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)		
Defamation (13)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition		
United States Control of the Control	Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)		
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
2. This case is is is not comfactors requiring exceptional judicial mana	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Rugement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. 🔙 Large number	r of witnesses		
b Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts		
issues that will be time-consuming	·	ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court		
c Substantial amount of documenta		ostjudgment judicial supervision		
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	monetary b. nonmonetary; c	declaratory or injunctive relief c punitive		
 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5 5. This case is is is not a class 	es action suit.			
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		nav use form CM-015 V		
Date: August 12, 2010	\sim \sim	(1.1)		
Michael Waddington		Medyol		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)		
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims pases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.				
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all 				
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2				
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov		

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Thomas v. Shed Media USA Inc., et al.	B C 4 4 3 5 5 9

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

	(CERTIF	ICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE	LOCATION)	
This	form is required purs	suant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los A	Angeles Superior Co	urt.
ltem I.	Check the types of he	earing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:	· ·	
JURY	TRIAL? VES CLAS	SS ACTION? \square YES LIMITED CASE? \square YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL ${ extstyle 5}$	☐ HOURS/ 🗹	DAYS
		trict and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", sk		
-		ig the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet in the right in Column A , the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected	•	n
	-	or Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the natur		
•		the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action		
		rt location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.		
	Applicab	le Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below	w)	
	Class Actions must be file	ed in the County Courthouse, Central District. 6. Location of property or perm	nanently garaged vehicle.	
	May be filed in Central (C Location where cause of Location where bodily init	other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). action arose. action arose. yry, death or damage occurred. are required or defendant resides. 7. Location where petitioner reconstructions are set to be a constant of the country of the count	sides. /respondent functions whol - of the parties reside	lly.
	5. Location where performa	ury, death or damage occurred. 9. Location where one or more not required or defendant resides. 10. Location of Labor Commissi	oner Office.	
Step _.	4: Fill in the information	on requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration	n	_
	Α	В	С	
	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons -	•
ort	Category No.	(Check only one)	See Step 3 Above	J
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.	
A P	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.	
		A0070 Alberta December 1		
	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage	2.	
Tor		A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.	
ath	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.	
Wrongful Death Tort	-	AZONO Madias Mada antica Discrizione O O conserva		
Wrongful Death Tort	Medical Malpractice (45)	 ☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice 	1., 2., 4.	
ron			1., 2., 4.	
%	Other	A7230 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 2., 4.	
Damage/	Personal Injury Property Damage	 A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) 	1., 2., 4.	
Jan	Wrongful Death	☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 2., 3.	
, –	(23)	☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.	
Tort	Business Tort (07)			1
<u>.</u> ⊢	230,700 1010 (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.	

Civil Rights (08)

Defamation (13)

Fraud (16)

☐ A6005

Civil Rights/Discrimination

☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)

☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)

1., 2., 3.

1., 2., 3.

1., 2., 3.

Damage/	_
Property	Tort (Cont'd.)
I Injury/	ath Ton
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/	Wronoful Death

_
ซ
ē
-

Employment

erty
o l
al P
æ

Detainer	
Unlawful	
Review	
<u></u>	

<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Thomas v. Shed Media USA Inc., et al.	

Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	 ☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) 	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☑ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	 □ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals 	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	 ☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	 ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
STOKE THE	}
Thomas v. Shed Media USA Inc., et al.	

☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	1
 □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 	2., 8. 2. 2.
☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
 ☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment ☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment ☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) ☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) ☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax ☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
 ☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only ☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) ☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) ☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
 ☐ A6121 Civil Harassment ☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment ☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case ☐ A6190 Election Contest ☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name ☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law ☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition 	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8.
	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation □ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort □ A6035 Securities Litigation Case □ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental □ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only) □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case □ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6030 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case □ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6170 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law <

SHORT TITLE: Thomas v. Shed Media USA Inc., et al.			CASE NUMBER		
				residence or place of business, perforn eason for filing in the court location you	
REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE		ADDRESS: 3800 Barham Blvd.			
☑1. ☑2. ☑3. □4. □5. □6. □7. □8. □9. □10.					
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:			
Los Angeles	CA	90068			ļ
true and correct and that the	above-entitled n	natter is properly file	d for assignmer	aws of the State of California that the foregon to the <u>superior</u> courthouse. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Ru	e in the
Dated: August 12, 2010	<u> </u>		7	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)	

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.